ABSOLUTE RATING: Average

IMPROVEMENT RATING: Unsatisfactory

Number of Elementary schools with students like ours: 92.

The absolute ratings for those schools ranged from below average to good. For improvement ratings, the range was from unsatisfactory to excellent.

RATINGS OVER A 4-YEAR PERIOD

Absolute Rating
Average

Improvement Rating
Unsatisfactory

2001 2002

2003 2004

(Definitions of School Rating Terms on Page 4)

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Schools With Students Like Ours









Mathematics

English/ Language Arts

Mathematics

Basic

English/ Language Arts

Advanced







DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- **Proficient** Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.
- Below Basic Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

Science scores are to be reported on the 2004 School Report Card. Social studies scores are to be reported on the 2005 School Report Card.

PERCENT OF STUDENTS SCORI	NG BASIC OR AB	OVE ON THE	PACT	
	English/			Social
Student Group	Language Arts	Math	Science	Studies
All students (n=306)	73.2	57.8	N/A	N/A
Students with disabilities other than				
Speech (n=25)	N/A	25.8		
Students without disabilities (n=271)	78.2	61.9		
Gender				
Male (n=152)	75.7	60.1		
Female (n=144)	75.7	56.2		
Ethnic Group				
African American (n=74)	67.6	42.3		
Hispanic (n=1)	N/A	N/A		
White (n=218)	78.4	64		
Other (n=3)	N/A	N/A		
Lunch Status Group				
Free/reduced-price Lunch (n=171)	71.3	51.4		
Pay for lunch (n=124)	81.5	68.8		

SCHOOL PROFILE INDICATORS OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

	Our School	Change From Last Year	Schools with Students like ours	Median Elementary School
SCHOOL				
Dollars spent per student	\$3,594	N/A	\$5,186	\$5,347
 Prime instructional time 	84.7%	Down from 86.2	% 90.2%	90.2%
 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 	19.8 to 1	N/A	18.4 to 1	18.7 to 1
STUDENTS (n=695)				
Attendance Rate	95.6%	Up from 95.3%	96%	96.2%
 Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level 	3.7%	N/A	3.8%	4.1%
 Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (math) off grade leve 	3% I	N/A	3%	3.1%
 First graders who attended full day kindergarten 	98.3%	Up from 92.3%	96.7%	96.3%
 Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards 	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate TEACHERS (n=55)	6%	Up from 3.7%	4%	3.6%
 Professional Development days per teacher 	6.3 Days	Down from 7.3	7.3 Days	7.6 days
Attendance Rate	90%	Down from 92.1	% 95.1%	95.1%
 Teachers with advanced degrees 	49.1%	Down from 50.9	% 45.1%	47.7%
Continuing contract teachers	83.6%	Up from 78.2%	83.8%	83.8%
 Teachers with out-of-field permits 	0%	Down from 1.8%	0%	0.0%
 Teachers returning from the previous school year 	88.4%	Down from 90.8	% 87.8%	87.2%
Average teacher salary	\$36,343	Up 5.2%	\$36,652	\$37,520

SCHOOL FACTS

		Change From	Schools with Students	Median Elementary
	Our School	Last Year	like ours	School
SCHOOL				
 Percentage of expenditures spent on teacher salaries 	68%	N/A	65.6%	65.3%
 Principal's years at the school 	15	N/A	4	4.0
 Parents attending conferences 	99%	N/A	95.1%	95.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/A	Good	Good
STUDENTS				
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	48.8%	43.1%
 On academic probation 	0%	N/A	0%	0.0%
 Older than usual for grade 	0.9%	Up from 0%	1.3%	1.1%
 Suspended or expelled 	0	N/A	1	1
 Gifted and talented 	9%	Up from 5.5%	9.5%	11.5%
With disabilities other than speech	9.6%	Up from 9.4%	8.3%	8.4%

PRINCIPAL'S / SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL REPORT

Last year was both a difficult and wonderful one at Whitesville Elementary School. Our children entered the 2000-2001 school year with some of the greatest gains in test scores in our district. In addition to the usual academic challenges, we were in the middle of an 11+ million-dollar school construction project. During the course of the year, two additional large classroom wings and a new media center were built on our campus. The cafeteria was doubled in size and transformed into a multi-purpose room with a permanent stage at one end. The week after our children went home for the summer, our old classroom wing and office were demolished, and 18 portable classrooms were moved off site. The school was physically transformed in one short school year – while all students and staff remained on campus.

Some of the year's accomplishments included:

- * No safety-related incidents involving students or staff in the midst of a massive construction project;
- * Reduced class size in second and fifth grades school initiative;
- * Reduced class size in first grade district and state initiatives;
- * Reading intervention in first grade Reading Recovery;
- * Reading intervention in grade two Soar to Success;
- * Reading intervention in grades three through five Soar to Success;
- * A large after-school intervention program in reading and math;
- * A very large summer intervention program in reading, writing, and math;
- * Extensive computer-based programs available to students in computer lab and the media center:
- * Full implementation of the Cunningham 4-Block Language Arts Program;
- * Implementaion of TRACE, an arts initiative program for third graders.

To support student learning, our staff also undertook extensive staff development during the school year. Ten teachers and the assistant principal participated in the South Carolina Reading Initiative. Staff received training in the 6+1 Traits Writing Assessment Model and all teachers studied the SC Curriculum Standards to upgrade their instructional planning.

Luretha Sumpter, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS AND STUDENTS

ETTESTITIONS DI TETTONERO TRIB GIODENIO				
Percent	Teachers	Students	Parents	
Satisfied with learning environment	76.2	86.3	(Avail. 2002)	
Satisfied with social and physical environment	61.9	79.4		
Satisfied with home-school relations	50.0	85.0		

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Unsatisfactory – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Whitesville Elementary 324 Gaillard Road Moncks Corner, SC 29461

Grades K-5 Elementary School

Enrollment: 695 Students

Principal

Ms. Luretha Sumpter 843-899-8880

Superintendent

Dr. J. Chester Floyd 843-899-8601

Board Chair

Ms. Carolyn Lewis 843-899-8602

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

• ., • .	
Annual School	2001
Report Card	2001

School Grade: Below Average

South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit our website at www.myscschools.com

301030